



I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service, with sufficient postage, as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop Amendment,
Commissioner for Patents,
P.O. Box 1450,
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on
March 14, 2005

Date of Deposit

Anthony P. Curtis, Ph.D., Reg. No. 46,193

Name of applicant, assignee or
Registered Representative

Signature

March 14, 2005

Date of Signature

Our Case No. 9281-4715

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
Tetsushi Tanada et al.)
Serial No.: 10/722,907) Examiner: Thanh Nguyen
Filing Date: November 25, 2003) Group Art Unit No.: 2871
For: REFLECTOR AND LIQUID DISPLAY)
PANEL)

Response to Restriction/Election Requirement

Mailstop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated January 12, 2005: In response to the Restriction Requirement required by the Examiner, requiring election between:

Group I: Claims 2-5 and 7-11 drawn to a reflector comprising an embossed layer and an embossed base with particular embossed layer and/or embossed base details; and

Group II: Claims 6 and 12 drawn to a liquid crystal display comprising first and second substrates, a liquid crystal layer, and a reflector.

Applicants elect, with traverse, Group I: Claims 1-5 and 7-11 drawn to a reflector comprising an embossed layer and an embossed base with particular embossed layer and/or embossed base details. Applicants note that Claim 1 is included in this election as Claim 1 is a linking claim.

Further, in response to the Election requirement requiring election between Species I: Fig. 6 and Species II: Fig. 21. Applicants elect Species I, Figs. 3 and 6. Applicants note that Species I includes both Fig. 3 and Fig. 6.

Applicants note that Claim 1 is both a linking claim, linking the inventions of Groups I and II, and a generic claim between Species I and II. Thus, should Claim 1 be allowed, the restriction requirement must be withdrawn and all inventions linked by Claim 1 and species to which Claim 1 is generic allowed.

Conclusion

If the Examiner is unable to allow the application in the next Office Action and believes that a telephone interview would be helpful to resolve any issues, he is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,



Anthony P. Curtis, Ph.D.
Registration No. 46,193
Attorney for Applicants

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
P.O. BOX 10395
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610
(312) 321-4200